

## SCHARF INVESTMENTS BALANCED OPPORTUNITIES COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE 1994-2016

| Year | Composite Total Return Before Fees | Composite Total Return After Fees | Benchmark Return | Number of Composite Portfolios | Composite Assets (\$) | Composite Assets as % of Firm Total | Composite 3 Year St Dev | Benchmark 3 Year St Dev |
|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1994 | 4.2%                               | 2.7%                              | -2.5%            | 31                             | 14,619,296            | 36.2%                               | N/A                     | N/A                     |
| 1995 | 34.0%                              | 32.1%                             | 25.2%            | 42                             | 19,219,762            | 27.5%                               | N/A                     | N/A                     |
| 1996 | 25.0%                              | 23.2%                             | 13.8%            | 33                             | 24,553,117            | 23.9%                               | N/A                     | N/A                     |
| 1997 | 29.1%                              | 27.4%                             | 19.0%            | 33                             | 32,665,536            | 24.8%                               | N/A                     | N/A                     |
| 1998 | 30.9%                              | 29.3%                             | 13.5%            | 34                             | 40,916,982            | 24.6%                               | N/A                     | N/A                     |
| 1999 | 21.0%                              | 19.5%                             | 8.7%             | 36                             | 46,563,871            | 22.1%                               | 12.9%                   | N/A                     |
| 2000 | 10.4%                              | 9.0%                              | 1.5%             | 42                             | 43,793,350            | 20.0%                               | 13.3%                   | N/A                     |
| 2001 | 16.7%                              | 15.3%                             | -4.4%            | 43                             | 48,025,710            | 17.5%                               | 11.2%                   | N/A                     |
| 2002 | -8.0%                              | -9.2%                             | -11.7%           | 43                             | 39,907,979            | 14.6%                               | 12.8%                   | N/A                     |
| 2003 | 23.9%                              | 22.2%                             | 19.1%            | 30                             | 41,654,943            | 10.8%                               | 11.8%                   | N/A                     |
| 2004 | 11.2%                              | 9.8%                              | 7.9%             | 24                             | 41,389,362            | 8.5%                                | 9.7%                    | N/A                     |
| 2005 | 9.6%                               | 8.1%                              | 5.2%             | 20                             | 34,845,994            | 6.1%                                | 7.3%                    | N/A                     |
| 2006 | 9.2%                               | 7.8%                              | 11.9%            | 19                             | 34,931,889            | 5.6%                                | 6.1%                    | N/A                     |
| 2007 | 6.6%                               | 5.4%                              | 5.9%             | 18                             | 33,122,306            | 4.9%                                | 6.5%                    | 5.0%                    |
| 2008 | -18.7%                             | -19.7%                            | -26.7%           | 19                             | 25,490,815            | 5.2%                                | 10.7%                   | 11.1%                   |
| 2009 | 22.8%                              | 21.5%                             | 23.4%            | 75                             | 80,149,924            | 10.7%                               | 13.6%                   | 13.9%                   |
| 2010 | 12.2%                              | 11.1%                             | 11.9%            | 112                            | 122,784,631           | 12.4%                               | 14.2%                   | 15.1%                   |
| 2011 | 5.7%                               | 4.6%                              | 0.7%             | 108                            | 130,605,229           | 11.7%                               | 11.1%                   | 12.2%                   |
| 2012 | 13.1%                              | 12.0%                             | 11.9%            | 90                             | 136,458,288           | 9.2%                                | 8.2%                    | 9.8%                    |
| 2013 | 22.2%                              | 21.2%                             | 16.4%            | 40                             | 161,112,534           | 7.4%                                | 7.1%                    | 8.1%                    |
| 2014 | 12.8%                              | 11.9%                             | 7.2%             | 49                             | 232,511,616           | 7.2%                                | 6.4%                    | 6.0%                    |
| 2015 | 2.6%                               | 1.8%                              | -0.4%            | 54                             | 239,043,073           | 6.0%                                | 7.0%                    | 6.4%                    |
| 2016 | 4.1%                               | 3.3%                              | 7.2%             | 59                             | 273,596,235           | 6.6%                                | 7.2%                    | 6.4%                    |

**Notes:**

- Scharf Investments claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Scharf Investments has been independently verified for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 2016. Verification assesses whether (1) the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS standards on a firm-wide basis and (2) the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS standards. The Scharf Balanced Opportunities composite has been examined for the periods January 1, 1997 through December 31, 2016. The verification and performance examination reports are available upon request.
- The period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1996 is not in compliance as performance for these years includes only those fee-paying, fully discretionary balanced accounts that were open for the entire calendar year.
- The composite may not be an accurate representation of any specific account, as specific account performance depends on investment timing, account specific guidelines, and other factors that vary from account to account.
- Scharf Investments is an independent investment advisory firm. The firm maintains a complete list and description of composites, which is available upon request.
- The composite includes all fee-paying, fully discretionary balanced accounts that invest in a blend of equities and fixed income. The strategy allows for different allocations between equity and fixed income, and the typical allocation to equities is approximately 60-70%. The equity portion is generally invested in a concentrated portfolio of equity securities that the Adviser believes have significantly more appreciation potential than downside risk over the long term. The fixed income portion is allocated to investments determined to have attractive capital preservation and income generating characteristics. For comparison purposes only, the composite is measured against the Lipper Balanced Mutual Fund Average for the years 1994-2004. After 2004, data for the Lipper Balanced Mutual Fund Average was not readily available, thus the Lipper Balanced Fund Index is used from 2005 forward. The Lipper Balanced Fund Index is an index of open-end mutual funds whose primary objective is to conserve principal by maintaining at all times a balanced portfolio of both equities and bonds. The typical equity allocation is around 60%. (Scharf Investments does not manage assets against any specific benchmark. Because the mandate for accounts in the composite is balanced, the benchmarks shown are Lipper Balanced Mutual Fund indicators. Lipper Balanced Fund indicators track total return performance of selected funds within the category. The comparison of composite performance to the benchmark is inappropriate because the benchmark is more diversified than the composite portfolios generating such performance. In addition, the percentage allocated to stocks, bonds and cash within the composite portfolios may differ from the percentages allocated within the benchmark. Therefore, potential investors are cautioned that no market index is directly comparable to the performance shown above.)
- Returns are presented gross and net of management fees. Prior to 1997, net of fee performance was calculated using the highest management fee of 0.375% per quarter. Beginning 1997, net of fee performance was calculated using actual management fees. Performance includes the reinvestment of dividends and other income and the deduction of trading commissions and other costs. The annual management fee schedule for new, directly managed accounts is: 0.30% of assets per quarter for the first \$1 million under management, 0.2125% per quarter for the next \$2 million, 0.20% for the next \$2 million, and 0.175% per quarter thereafter.
- Annualized 1994-2016 return for the composite was 12.4% before management fees, 11.1% after management fees. Annualized return for the benchmark was 6.5%. Returns are size-weighted and calculated using beginning of period values on an adjusted capital basis. Any foreign taxes paid in an account were treated as a flow and had no effect on the account's return. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.
- The dispersion of annual returns for 1994 through 1996 is measured by the standard deviation unweighted by the size of the account. Dispersion from 1997 forward uses a gross of fees, size-weighted calculation of standard deviation. In addition, individual accounts may have been part of a multi-account relationship. In these cases, diversification might have occurred at the relationship level rather than the individual account level. Relationship level management was discontinued in 2004 and phased out during 2004 and 2005. The factors above have an unknown effect on the dispersion statistics which follow: 1994: 2.5%; 1995: 11.2%; 1996: 5.3%; 1997: 6.7%; 1998: 7.5%; 1999: 5.4%; 2000: 4.6%; 2001: 4.0%; 2002: 3.5%; 2003: 4.2%; 2004: 2.0%; 2005: 2.6%; 2006: 1.5%; 2007: 1.3%; 2008: 3.3%; 2009: 4.4%; 2010: 1.4%; 2011: 1.5%; 2012: 1.0%; 2013: 2.7%; 2014: 1.1%; 2015: 0.4%; 2016: 0.3%.
- This composite was created in 1989. Valuations and returns are computed and stated in U.S. dollars.
- Effective December 31, 2012, a minimum value of \$2 million was enforced for all new balanced accounts and the composite's name was changed to the Scharf Balanced Opportunities composite.
- Data to calculate ex-post standard deviation for the trailing 36 months was not available for the composite for periods before 1999 and for the benchmark for periods before 2007.
- Results were generated using an investment philosophy and methodology that Scharf Investments expects to continue using. However, future investments may be made under different economic conditions and in different securities. Further, the results do not reflect performance in every type of economic cycle. Past performance is not indicative of future results.